# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 45944 City of Houston RN101608685 Docket No. 2013-0056-MWD-E

# Order Type:

Findings Agreed Order

# **Findings Order Justification:**

People or environmental receptors have been exposed to pollutants which exceed levels that are protective.

## Media:

**MWD** 

### **Small Business:**

No

# Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Northgate WWTP, located at 303 Benmar Street on the south bank of Greens Bayou approximately 3,000 feet northeast of the intersection of Interstate Highway 45 and North Belt Freeway, Harris County

# **Type of Operation:**

Wastewater treatment plant

# **Other Significant Matters:**

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: May 17, 2013

**Comments Received: No** 

# **Penalty Information**

**Total Penalty Assessed:** \$27,189

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$0 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

**Total Paid to General Revenue:** \$0 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$0

Payment Plan: N/A

**SEP Conditional Offset:** \$27,189

Name of SEP: Bayou Land Conservancy fka Legacy Land Trust

## **Compliance History Classifications:**

Person/CN - Satisfactory

Site/RN - High

Major Source: Yes

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

**Applicable Penalty Policy:** September 2011

# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 45944 City of Houston RN101608685 Docket No. 2013-0056-MWD-E

# **Investigation Information**

**Complaint Date(s):** N/A **Complaint Information**: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: October 30, 2012 Date(s) of NOE(s): December 20, 2012

# **Violation Information**

- 1. Failed to collect effluent samples at the required location [Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0010495100, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 5, and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 319.5(a)].
- 2. Failed to prevent the discharge of a hazardous substance from the Facility into or adjacent to water in the state [Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a) and TPDES Permit No. WQ0010495100, Permit Conditions No. 2.d.].
- 3. Failed to ensure that all systems of collection, treatment, and disposal are properly operated and maintained [TPDES Permit No. WQ0010495100, Operational Requirements No. 1 and 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1) and (5)].
- 4. Failed to notify the TCEQ within 24 hours of becoming aware of a reportable discharge or spill of a hazardous substance into the environment in a quantity equal to or greater than the reportable quantity in any 24-hour period [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 327.3(a) and (b)].

# Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

# **Corrective Action(s) Completed:**

Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures:

- a. By September 27, 2012, stopped the unauthorized discharge, disposed of the dead fish after the bleach dissipated, and counseled the employees on the proper procedure for chemical handling and chemical delivery process;
- b. By September 28, 2012, updated the Facility's standard operating procedures to ensure that all reportable discharges or spills are timely reported;
- c. By November 9, 2012, repaired the out of service blower and began maintaining the required number of blowers in the aeration basin; and
- d. By December 6, 2012, began collecting effluent samples at the required location.

# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 45944 City of Houston RN101608685 Docket No. 2013-0056-MWD-E

# **Technical Requirements:**

The Order will require Respondent to implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). (See SEP Attachment A)

# Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A
Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A
SOAH Referral Date: N/A
Hearing Date(s): N/A
Settlement Date: N/A

# **Contact Information**

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Jorge Ibarra, P.E., Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 3, MC R-04, (817) 588-5890; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-0412

**TCEQ SEP Coordinator**: Stuart Beckley, SEP Coordinator, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-3565

**Respondent:** Daniel W. Krueger, Public Works Director, City of Houston, P.O. Box 1562, Houston, Texas 77251

The Honorable Annise Parker, Mayor, City of Houston, P.O. Box 1562, Houston, Texas 77251

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

# Attachment A Docket Number: 2013-0056-MWD-E

## SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

**Respondent:** City of Houston

Penalty Amount: Twenty-Seven Thousand One Hundred Eighty-

Nine Dollars (\$27,189)

**SEP Offset Amount:** Twenty-Seven Thousand One Hundred Eighty-

Nine Dollars (\$27,189)

Type of SEP: Contribution to a Third-Party Pre-Approved

SEP

Third-Party Recipient: Bayou Land Conservancy fka Legacy Land

**Trust** 

Project Name: Spring Creek Greenway Project
Location of SEP: Harris or Montgomery Counties

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset the administrative penalty amount assessed in this Agreed Order for Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The SEP Offset Amount is set forth above and such offset is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

# 1. Project Description

# a. Project

Respondent shall contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Recipient named above. The contribution will be to the Bayou Land Conservancy for the Spring Creek Greenway Project. The contribution will be used in accordance with the SEP Agreement between the Third-Party Recipient and the TCEO (the "Project"). Specifically, this SEP will focus on preserving multiple tracts of land comprising approximately 1,000 acres in the riparian corridor east of FM 2978 and west of U.S. 59. The Third-Party Recipient shall use SEP Funds to acquire conservation easements on these tracts to provide permanent protection and stewardship of these lands and waters. The Third-Party Recipient shall also use SEP Funds to conduct restoration work, including tree plantings, on these tracts, as needed. All property acquired in whole or in part with SEP Funds must be preserved in perpetuity through a conservation easement that complies with Subchapter A, Chapter 183, Texas Natural Resources Code. The holder of the conservation easement must be an entity that meets the definition of "holder" under section 183.001(2), Texas Natural Resources Code. Additionally, the holder of the conservation easement may not also be the owner of the property. The Third-Party Recipient shall use only conservation easements that are substantially similar to the conservation easement described in the SEP Agreement between Third-

Party Recipient and TCEQ. The Third-Party Recipient shall not use or set aside SEP Funds for legal defense of these properties without prior written approval of the TCEQ.

All dollars contributed will be used solely for the direct cost of the Project and no portion will be spent on administrative costs. The SEP will be performed in accordance with all federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations.

Respondent's signature affixed to this Agreed Order certifies that it has no prior commitment to make this contribution and that it is being contributed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

#### b. Environmental Benefit

The preservation of open space in the Spring Creek Greenway Project area provides substantial environmental, economic, and community benefits for the region. Spring Creek is on the State's 303(d) list for water quality impairment due to bacteria. The sandy banks of Spring Creek have frequently been stripped of their native vegetation, increasing erosion potential and reducing wildlife habitat. However, for such a highly populated area, northern Harris and southern Montgomery Counties are still heavily forested. Protecting this forested area's trees, understory and wetlands helps absorb fertilizer, roadway runoff, and animal fecal matter from the nearby highly populated areas. Biofiltration through these vegetated areas protects Spring Creek from non-point source water pollution by slowing the flow of run-off and assisting particulates and their associated pollutants to settle. As pollutants settle out via washover through these forests, they are immobilized, decomposed and absorbed back into the soil, instead of running off into the waterway. By preserving the natural vegetated banks, turbidity is reduced, and source water for recreation and human consumption is protected from further degradation before reaching the San Jacinto River, which feeds Lake Houston, a primary water source for Houston. Having vegetated areas remain protected along this area, while also increasing tree plantings through this project, will help protect this waterway from contaminants. Finally, the linking of open space enhances the conservation value of this greenway project by reversing land fragmentation.

## c. Minimum Expenditure

Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

#### 2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, Respondent shall contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. Respondent shall mail the contribution, with a copy of the Agreed Order to:

> Bayou Land Conservancy Jennifer Lorenz, Executive Director 10330 Lake Road, Building J Houston, Texas 77070

# 3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Offset Amount, Respondent shall provide the Enforcement Division SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Offset Amount due to the Third-Party Recipient. Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enforcement Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

# 4. Failure to Fully Perform

If Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP agreement in any way, including full expenditure of the SEP Offset Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Sections 2 and 3 above, the Executive Director ("ED") may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Offset Amount.

In the event the ED determines that Respondent failed to complete the project, Respondent shall remit payment for all or a portion of the SEP Offset Amount, as determined by the ED, and shall include on the check the docket number of this Agreed Order and note that it is for reimbursement of a SEP. Respondent shall make the check payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and shall mail it to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Litigation Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

# 5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of Respondent, must include a clear statement that the Project was performed as part of the settlement of an

enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

# 6. Clean Texas Program

Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

# 7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP Offset Amount identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as a SEP for Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

#### Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) PCW Revision August 3, 2011 Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) Assigned Screening 8-Jan-2013 **EPA** Due 10-Jan-2013 PCW RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent City of Houston Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101608685 Facility/Site Region 12-Houston Major/Minor Source Major **CASE INFORMATION** No. of Violations 4 Enf./Case ID No. 45944 Order Type Findings Docket No. 2013-0056-MWD-E Media Program(s) Water Quality Government/Non-Profit Yes Enf. Coordinator Jorge Ibarra, P.E Multi-Media EC's Team Enforcement Team 3 Maximum \$0 \$25,000 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Penalty Calculation Section \$36,250 TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. \$0 0.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 **Compliance History** No adjustment for compliance history. Notes 0.0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 \$0 Culpability No The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 \$9,061 \$0 0.0% Enhancement\* Subtotal 6 **Economic Benefit** \*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Total EB Amounts \$3 Approx. Cost of Compliance \$27,189 SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 0.0% OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. Notes Final Penalty Amount \$27,189

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

No deferral is recommended for Findings Orders.

\$27,189

\$27,189

\$0

Final Assessed Penalty

Reduction Adjustment

#### **Docket No.** 2013-0056-MWD-E

Screening Date 8-Jan-2013

Respondent City of Houston

**Case ID No.** 45944

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101608685
Media [Statute] Water Quality

Enf. Coordinator Jorge Ibarra, P.E.

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011

**Compliance History Worksheet** 

Component		nter Number He	re Aujust
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
ماناد	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Audits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Plea	se Enter Yes or I	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
ou.ici	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
eat Violator (	Adjustment Perd Subtotal 3)  Adjustment Perd Adjustment Perd		
	ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Satisfactory	Performer Adjustment Perc	entage (Si	uptotai /
pliance Histo	ry Summary	September 1	
Compliance History Notes	No adjustment for compliance history.		
	Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (S History Adjustment	ubtotals 2	2, 3, & <i>7</i> ,

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	City of Housto	n					
Case ID No.	45944						
leg. Ent. Reference No.	RN101608685						
	Water Quality					Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	1						Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description							
			. Unionimization	( e'		name of the second	
Delayed Costs				1 0 00	Γ 40	T +0 T	#0
Equipment		ļ		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	<u>\$0</u> \$0
Buildings		<u> </u>		0.00	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	<del>\$0</del>
Engineering/construction		<u> </u>		0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System		<del> </del>		0.00	\$0	- n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$500	30-Oct-2012	6-Dec-2012	0.10	\$3	n/a	\$3
Notes for DELAYED costs		investiga	ition date, and	the fina	al date is the comp		
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00	so stem (except	for one-time avoid	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
spection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
				0.00	\$0	\$0	40
	<b>!</b>	II II		טע.ע ון			\$0
Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]					\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	
Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00			\$0

Scre	ening Date	8-Jan-2013	<b>Docket No.</b> 2013-0056-MWD-E	PCW
		City of Houston	Policy Revis	ion 3 (September 2011)
	Case ID No.		PCW	Revision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Ref				
	a [Statute]			
	coordinator ation Number	Jorge Ibarra, P.E.		
VIOI	Rule Cite(s)		26.121(a) and TPDES Permit No. WQ0010495100, Permit	1
22.00	Rule Cite(s)	Tex. Water Code 9	Conditions No. 2.d.	
Violatio	n Description	adjacent to water in t 1,242 gallons of sodiu repair, to the clarific	e discharge of a hazardous substance from the Facility into or the state. Specifically, on September 26, 2012, approximately m hypochlorite (bleach) was discharged during the bleach tank er trough and finally through Outfall 001 into Greens Bayou, in approximately 200 dead fish of mixed species.	
			Base Penalty	\$25,000
-	B		alth Matrix	
>> Environme	ntai, Propei	rty and Human He	rm	
	Release	Major Mode	erate Minor	
OR	Actual			
	Potential		Percent 100.0%	
>>Drog======	tic Matrix			
>>Programma	Falsification-	Major Mode	erate Minor	
			Percent 0.0%	
				7
Matrix Notes	Human healt		as been exposed to pollutants which exceeded levels that are uman health or environmental receptors.	
				J
			Adjustment \$0	
				+25 000
				\$25,000
Violation Event	's			
Fioletion Even	-			
	Number of \	Violation Events 1	1 Number of violation days	
	mark only one with an x	dally x weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual	Violation Base Penalty	\$25,000
		single event		
				1
	One daily ever	nt is recommended, from	m the date of the discharge (September 26, 2012) to the date	
	the dischar	ge was stopped and the	e bleach dissipated and cleanup completed (September 27, 2012).	
Good Faith Effo	orts to Com	ply 2	5.0% Reduction	\$6,250
		Before	NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
		Extraordinary		
		Ordinary x		
		N/A	(mark with x)	
		Notes The R	espondent achieved compliance by September 27, 2012 for this violation.	
			Violation Subtotal	\$18,750
Economic Bene	fit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory Limit Test	
	Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$0 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$18,750
		Th	nis violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$18,750

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent Case ID No.	45944						
Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media Violation No.	Water Quality					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a 🥙	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	\$1,000		27-Sep-2012	0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	the proper pro	ocedure for chemi	cal handling and	d chem	ical delivery proce te is the complian	fish, and counsel the ss. Date required is ce date.	the date of the
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before			for one-time avoid	led costs)
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$1,000			TOTAL		\$0

		conomic	Benefit	WO	rksneet		
Respondent		n					
Case ID No.							
leg. Ent. Reference No.	Water Quality						Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
YIOIAUGII NO.						5.0	15
	Ttem Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description							
Item pescription	Mo Colimas or 2					I. AI-IMMINAMIN INAUGUSEUM	· Lance Comment of the Comment
Delayed Costs					r -353 (1 <b>48</b> 45)		
Equipment		1		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	. \$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a ·	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$500	30-Oct-2012	9-Nov-2012	0.03	\$1	n/a .	\$1
Notes for DELAYED costs	the aeratio	n basin. Date req	uired is the inve	stigati	on date, and the fi	the required numb inal date is the com for one-time avoid	oliance date.
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Disposal	<u></u>			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	
spection/Reporting/Sampling	l	li					\$0
					\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00			\$0 \$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0

	Scre	ening Date	8-Jan-2013	<b>Docket No.</b> 2013-0056-MWD-E	ŀ	PCW
		the section of the se	City of Houston		Policy Revision 3 (Septembe	
Bog	1,000	Case ID No.	45944 RN101608685		PCW Revision August	3, 2011
Keg.		a [Statute]				
			Jorge Ibarra, P.E.			
	Viola	ation Number	4			
		Rule Cite(s)		30 Tex. Admin. Code § 327.3(a) and (b)		
				30 Tex. Admin. Code g 327.3(a) and (b)		
				y the TCEQ within 24 hours of becoming aware of a repor		
	Violatio	n Description		of a hazardous substance into the environment in a quant in the reportable quantity in any 24-hour period. Specifica		
	Violatio	n Description		ch was discovered on September 26, 2012, but not repor		
				September 28, 2012.		
				Base	Penalty \$2	25,000
			2			
>> Env	vironmer	ntal, Proper	ty and Human	Health Matrix Harm		
		Release	Major N	1oderate Minor		
OR		Actual				
		Potential		Percent 0.0%		
>>Proc	gramma	tic Matrix				
		Falsification		loderate Minor		
	l		X	Percent 15.0%		
	]					
	Matrix		100%	of the rule requirement was not met.		
	Notes			·		
	,				+31 350	
				Adjustment	\$21,250	
					\$	3,750
Violatic	on Event	S		THE STATE OF THE S		
· ioiaei						
		Number of V	/iolation Events	1 Number of violation d	ays	
			daily			
			weekly			
		mark only one	monthly			
		with an x	quarterly semiannual	Violation Base	Penalty \$	3,750
			annual			
			single event	X		
	Į					
			c	One single event is recommended.		
Caad E	nish Effa	rts to Comp	alla .	25.0% Reduction		\$937
400a F	aitti Ellu	irts to comp		efore NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer		4557
			Extraordinary			
			Ordinary	х		
			N/A	(mark with x)		
			Notes Th	e Respondent achieved compliance by September 28,		
				2012 for this violation.		
			(Inc., comments)	Walata 6	Subtotal	2,813
				Violation S	סטטנטנפון \$.	د,013
Econon	nic Bene	fit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory Limit	rest	
		Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$0 Violation Final Penal	ty Total \$2	2,813
				This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	r limits) ¢	2,813
				From the man massessed it cliently (augusted for	Ψ,	_,525

Violation No.						Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$					- 295	
Delayed Costs	::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::						
Equipment		1	SW000 310 No. 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings	<b></b>			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	∉ n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a %	\$0
Remediation/Disposal							
Remediation/ Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a :	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	esse n/a	\$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)			fy the TCEQ wit	0.00 0.00 hin 24	\$0 \$0 hours of becoming	n/a n/a g aware of reportable	\$0 \$0 e discharge or
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co spill and to u timely repor	st to properly notional property of the proper	fy the TCEQ wit l operating proc d is the date the	0.00 0.00 hin 24 edures notific dat	\$0 \$0 hours of becoming to ensure that all ation was due, an e.	n/a n/a g aware of reportable reportable discharge d the final date is th	\$0 \$0 e discharge or es or spills are e compliance
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	Estimated co spill and to u timely repor	st to properly notional property of the proper	fy the TCEQ wit l operating proc d is the date the	0.00 0.00 hin 24 edures notific dat	\$0 \$0 hours of becoming to ensure that all ation was due, an e.	n/a n/a g aware of reportable reportable discharge	\$0 \$0 e discharge or es or spills are e compliance
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	Estimated co spill and to u timely repor	st to properly notional property of the proper	fy the TCEQ wit l operating proc d is the date the	0.00 0.00 hin 24 edures notific dat	\$0 \$0 hours of becoming to ensure that all action was due, an e. ag item (except	n/a n/a g aware of reportable reportable discharge d the final date is th	\$0 \$0 e discharge or es or spills are e compliance led costs) \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated co spill and to u timely repor	st to properly notional property of the proper	fy the TCEQ wit l operating proc d is the date the	0.00 0.00 hin 24 edures notific dat enterir 0.00	\$0 \$0 hours of becoming to ensure that all ation was due, an e. <b>ig item (except</b>	n/a n/a g aware of reportable reportable discharge d the final date is the for one-time avoid	\$0 \$0 e discharge or es or spills are e compliance led costs)
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated co spill and to u timely repor	st to properly notional property of the proper	fy the TCEQ wit l operating proc d is the date the	0.00 0.00 hin 24 edures notific dat enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 hours of becoming to ensure that all action was due, an e. 1g item (except 1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a g aware of reportable reportable discharge d the final date is the for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 e discharge or es or spills are e compliance led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated co spill and to u timely repor	st to properly notional property of the proper	fy the TCEQ wit l operating proc d is the date the	0.00 0.00 hin 24 edures notific dat enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 hours of becoming to ensure that all ation was due, an e. **gitem (except **) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	g aware of reportable reportable discharged the final date is the for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 e discharge or es or spills are e compliance   so \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimated co spill and to u timely repor	st to properly notional property of the proper	fy the TCEQ wit l operating proc d is the date the	0.00 0.00 hin 24 edures notific dat enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 hours of becoming to ensure that all action was due, an e. 1g item (except 1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a g aware of reportable reportable discharge d the final date is the for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 e discharge or es or spills are e compliance led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



# Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN600128995, RN101608685, Rating Year 2012 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2007, through August 31, 2012.

Customer, Respondent, CN600128995, City of Houston or Owner/Operator:

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 2.20

Regulated Entity:

RN101608685, NORTHGATE WWTP

Classification: HIGH

Rating: 0.00

**Complexity Points:** 

Repeat Violator:

CH Group:

08 - Sewage Treatment Facilities

Location:

Located at 303 Benmar Street on the south bank of Greens Bayou approximately 3,000 feet northeast of the

intersection of Interstate Highway 45 and North Belt Freeway in Harris County, Texas

TCEQ Region:

**REGION 12 - HOUSTON** 

ID Number(s):

WASTEWATER PERMIT WQ0010495100

WASTEWATER EPA ID TX0055310 **STORMWATER PERMIT TXR05K246** 

**WASTEWATER LICENSING LICENSE WQ0010495100** 

**AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS ACCOUNT NUMBER HG3798J** 

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2007 to August 31, 2012

Rating Year: 2012

**Rating Date:** 09/01/2012

**Date Compliance History Report Prepared:** 

January 16, 2013

**Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:** 

Enforcement

**Component Period Selected:** 

January 08, 2008 to January 08, 2013

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Jorge Ibarra, P.E.

Phone (817) 588-5890

#### **Site and Owner/Operator History:**

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES NO

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? N/A

3) If YES for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

N/A

owner(s)/operator(s)?

N/A

5) If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator

## Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

N/A

**Criminal convictions:** 

Chronic excessive emissions events:

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

Item 1	January 17, 2008	(621979)
Item 2	February 18, 2008	(673868)
Item 3	March 17, 2008	(673869)
Item 4	April 17, 2008	(673870)
Item 5	June 18, 2008	(692123)
Item 6	July 18, 2008	(692124)
Item 7	August 29, 2008	(713200)
Item 8	September 17, 2008	(713201)
Item 9	October 20, 2008	(713202)
Item 10	November 14, 2008	(729332)
Item 11	December 18, 2008	(729333)
Item 12	January 15, 2009	(729334)
Item 13	February 16, 2009	(752522)

Item 14	March 19, 2009	(752523)
Item 15	April 16, 2009	(752524)
Item 16	May 19, 2009	(770167)
Item 17	June 10, 2009	(770168)
Item 18	July 20, 2009	(810068)
Item 19	August 31, 2009	(810069)
Item 20	September 21, 2009	(810070)
Item 21	October 19, 2009	(810071)
Item 22	November 17, 2009	(810072)
Item 23	December 15, 2009	(810073)
Item 24	January 13, 2010	(810074)
Item 25	February 12, 2010	(810067)

# E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

N/A

F. Environmental audits:

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



§	BEFORE THE
§	
§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
§	
§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
	§

### AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2013-0056-MWD-E

At its	_ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
	considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an
enforcement action regarding City	of Houston ("Respondent") under the authority of TEX.
WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The	Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement
Division, and the Respondent preser	nted this agreement to the Commission.

The Respondent understands that it has certain procedural rights at certain points in the enforcement process, including, but not limited to, the right to formal notice of violations, notice of an evidentiary hearing, the right to an evidentiary hearing, and a right to appeal. By entering into this Agreed Order, the Respondent agrees to waive all notice and procedural rights.

It is further understood and agreed that this Order represents the complete and fully-integrated settlement of the parties. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable. The duties and responsibilities imposed by this Agreed Order are binding upon the Respondent.

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

#### I. FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Respondent owns and operates a wastewater treatment plant located at 303 Benmar Street on the south bank of Greens Bayou approximately 3,000 feet northeast of the intersection of Interstate Highway 45 and North Belt Freeway in Harris County, Texas (the "Facility").

- 2. The Respondent has discharged municipal waste into or adjacent to any water in the state under Tex. Water Code ch. 26.
- 3. During an investigation conducted on October 30, 2012, TCEQ staff documented that the Respondent did not collect effluent samples at the required location. Specifically, dissolved oxygen and pH samples were being collected in the chlorine contact basin just before the weir and not after the final treatment unit as specified in the permit.
- 4. During an investigation conducted on October 30, 2012, TCEQ staff documented that the Respondent did not prevent the discharge of a hazardous substance from the Facility into or adjacent to water in the state. Specifically, on September 26, 2012 approximately 1,242 gallons of sodium hypochlorite (bleach) was discharged during the bleach tank repair, to the clarifier trough and finally through Outfall 001 into Greens Bayou, resulting in approximately 200 dead fish of mixed species.
- 5. During an investigation conducted on October 30, 2012, TCEQ staff documented that the Respondent did not ensure that all systems of collection, treatment, and disposal are properly operated and maintained. Specifically, the Respondent failed to maintain the required number of blowers in the aeration basin; four blowers are required, however, only three were operational at the time of the investigation.
- 6. During an investigation conducted on October 30, 2012, TCEQ staff documented that the Respondent did not notify the TCEQ within 24 hours of becoming aware of a reportable discharge or spill of a hazardous substance into the environment in a quantity equal to or greater than the reportable quantity ("RQ") in any 24-hour period. Specifically, the discharge of bleach was discovered on September 26, 2012, but not reported until September 28, 2012.
- 7. The Respondent received notice of the violations on December 26, 2012.
- 8. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:
  - a. By September 27, 2012, stopped the unauthorized discharge, disposed of the dead fish after the bleach dissipated, and counseled the employees on the proper procedure for chemical handling and chemical delivery process;
  - b. By September 28, 2012, updated the Facility's standard operating procedures to ensure that all reportable discharges or spills are timely reported;
  - c. By November 9, 2012, repaired the out of service blower and began maintaining the required number of blowers in the aeration basin; and
  - d. By December 6, 2012, began collecting effluent samples at the required location.

#### II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26 and the rules of the Commission.
- 2. As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 3, the Respondent failed to collect effluent samples at the required location, in violation of Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0010495100, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 5, and 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 319.5(a).
- 3. As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 4, the Respondent failed to prevent the discharge of a hazardous substance from the Facility into or adjacent to water in the state, in violation of Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a) and TPDES Permit No. WQ0010495100, Permit Conditions No. 2.d.
- 4. As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 5, the Respondent failed to ensure that all systems of collection, treatment, and disposal are properly operated and maintained, in violation of TPDES Permit No. WQ0010495100, Operational Requirements No. 1 and 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1) and (5).
- As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 6, the Respondent failed to notify the TCEQ within 24 hours of becoming aware of a reportable discharge or spill of a hazardous substance into the environment in a quantity equal to or greater than the RQ in any 24-hour period, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 327.3(a) and (b).
- 6. Pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 7.051, the Commission has the authority to assess an administrative penalty against the Respondent for violations of the Texas Water Code and the Texas Health and Safety Code within the Commission's jurisdiction; for violations of rules adopted under such statutes; or for violations of orders or permits issued under such statutes.
- 7. An administrative penalty in the amount of Twenty-Seven Thousand One Hundred Eighty-Nine Dollars (\$27,189) is justified by the facts recited in this Agreed Order, and considered in light of the factors set forth in Tex. WATER CODE § 7.053. Twenty-Seven Thousand One Hundred Eighty-Nine Dollars (\$27,189) shall be conditionally offset by the Respondent's completion of a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP")."

#### III. ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

The Respondent is assessed an administrative penalty in the amount of Twenty-Seven Thousand One Hundred Eighty-Nine Dollars (\$27,189) as set forth in Section II, Paragraph 7 above, for violations of TCEQ rules and state statutes. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and

City of Houston DOCKET NO. 2013-0056-MWD-E Page 4

conditions set forth in this Agreed Order completely resolve the violations set forth by this Agreed Order in this action. However, the Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for other violations that are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: City of Houston, Docket No. 2013-0056-MWD-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The Respondent shall implement and complete a SEP in accordance with Tex. Water Code § 7.067. As set forth in Section II, Paragraph 7 above, Twenty-Seven Thousand One Hundred Eighty-Nine Dollars (\$27,189) of the assessed administrative penalty shall be offset with the condition that the Respondent implement the SEP defined in Attachment A, incorporated herein by reference. The Respondent's obligation to pay the conditionally offset portion of the administrative penalty assessed shall be discharged upon final completion of all provisions of the SEP agreement.
- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. The Executive Director may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings without notice to the Respondent if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.

City of Houston DOCKET NO. 2013-0056-MWD-E Page 5

- 7. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 8. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 9. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 10. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties. By law, the effective date of this Agreed Order is the third day after the mailing date, as provided by 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.142.

City of Houston DOCKET NO. 2013-0056-MWD-E Page 6

City of Houston

# SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
Romo somi A	5(25)13
For the Executive Director	Date
Houston. I am authorized to agree to the and do agree to the specified terms and	tand the attached Agreed Order in the matter of City of e attached Agreed Order on behalf of City of Houston, conditions. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in it, is materially relying on such representation.
rights, including, but not limited to, the Agreed Order, notice of an evidentiary he to appeal. I agree to the terms of the	greed Order, City of Houston waives certain procedural right to formal notice of violations addressed by this earing, the right to an evidentiary hearing, and the right Agreed Order in lieu of an evidentiary hearing. This adjudication by the Commission of the violations set
<ul> <li>and/or failure to timely pay the penalty at</li> <li>A negative impact on compliance of the complex of</li></ul>	history; blications submitted; rney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, ney fees, or to a collection agency; enforcement actions; by General's Office of any future enforcement actions;
Signature	March 25, 2013 Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of	Mirector-Public Works

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section III, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

# Attachment A Docket Number: 2013-0056-MWD-E

#### SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

**Respondent:** City of Houston

Penalty Amount: Twenty-Seven Thousand One Hundred Eighty-

Nine Dollars (\$27,189)

SEP Offset Amount: Twenty-Seven Thousand One Hundred Eighty-

Nine Dollars (\$27,189)

Type of SEP: Contribution to a Third-Party Pre-Approved

SEP

Third-Party Recipient: Bayou Land Conservancy fka Legacy Land

Trust

Project Name: Spring Creek Greenway Project

Location of SEP: Harris or Montgomery Counties

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset the administrative penalty amount assessed in this Agreed Order for Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The SEP Offset Amount is set forth above and such offset is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

# 1. Project Description

#### a. Project

Respondent shall contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Recipient named above. The contribution will be to the **Bayou Land Conservancy** for the *Spring* Creek Greenway Project. The contribution will be used in accordance with the SEP Agreement between the Third-Party Recipient and the TCEQ (the "Project"). Specifically, this SEP will focus on preserving multiple tracts of land comprising approximately 1,000 acres in the riparian corridor east of FM 2978 and west of U.S. 59. The Third-Party Recipient shall use SEP Funds to acquire conservation easements on these tracts to provide permanent protection and stewardship of these lands and waters. The Third-Party Recipient shall also use SEP Funds to conduct restoration work, including tree plantings, on these tracts, as needed. All property acquired in whole or in part with SEP Funds must be preserved in perpetuity through a conservation easement that complies with Subchapter A, Chapter 183, Texas Natural Resources Code. The holder of the conservation easement must be an entity that meets the definition of "holder" under section 183.001(2), Texas Natural Resources Code. Additionally, the holder of the conservation easement may not also be the owner of the property. The Third-Party Recipient shall use only conservation easements that are substantially similar to the conservation easement described in the SEP Agreement between Third-

Party Recipient and TCEQ. The Third-Party Recipient shall not use or set aside SEP Funds for legal defense of these properties without prior written approval of the TCEQ.

All dollars contributed will be used solely for the direct cost of the Project and no portion will be spent on administrative costs. The SEP will be performed in accordance with all federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations.

Respondent's signature affixed to this Agreed Order certifies that it has no prior commitment to make this contribution and that it is being contributed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

#### b. Environmental Benefit

The preservation of open space in the Spring Creek Greenway Project area provides substantial environmental, economic, and community benefits for the region. Spring Creek is on the State's 303(d) list for water quality impairment due to bacteria. The sandy banks of Spring Creek have frequently been stripped of their native vegetation, increasing erosion potential and reducing wildlife habitat. However, for such a highly populated area, northern Harris and southern Montgomery Counties are still heavily forested. Protecting this forested area's trees, understory and wetlands helps absorb fertilizer, roadway runoff, and animal fecal matter from the nearby highly populated areas. Biofiltration through these vegetated areas protects Spring Creek from non-point source water pollution by slowing the flow of run-off and assisting particulates and their associated pollutants to settle. As pollutants settle out via washover through these forests, they are immobilized, decomposed and absorbed back into the soil, instead of running off into the waterway. By preserving the natural vegetated banks, turbidity is reduced, and source water for recreation and human consumption is protected from further degradation before reaching the San Jacinto River, which feeds Lake Houston, a primary water source for Houston. Having vegetated areas remain protected along this area, while also increasing tree plantings through this project, will help protect this waterway from contaminants. Finally, the linking of open space enhances the conservation value of this greenway project by reversing land fragmentation.

# c. Minimum Expenditure

Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

# 2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, Respondent shall contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. Respondent shall mail the contribution, with a copy of the Agreed Order to:

> Bayou Land Conservancy Jennifer Lorenz, Executive Director 10330 Lake Road, Building J Houston, Texas 77070

# 3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Offset Amount, Respondent shall provide the Enforcement Division SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Offset Amount due to the Third-Party Recipient. Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Enforcement Division
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

# 4. Failure to Fully Perform

If Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP agreement in any way, including full expenditure of the SEP Offset Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Sections 2 and 3 above, the Executive Director ("ED") may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Offset Amount.

In the event the ED determines that Respondent failed to complete the project, Respondent shall remit payment for all or a portion of the SEP Offset Amount, as determined by the ED, and shall include on the check the docket number of this Agreed Order and note that it is for reimbursement of a SEP. Respondent shall make the check payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and shall mail it to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Litigation Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

# 5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of Respondent, must include a clear statement that the Project was performed as part of the settlement of an

enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

## 6. Clean Texas Program

Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

# 7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP Offset Amount identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as a SEP for Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.